Case 4:11-cv-06703-CW Document 40 Filed 04/12/13 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NINA F. LOCKER, State Bar No. 123838 CATHERINE E. MORENO, State Bar No. 264517 BENJAMIN M. CROSSON, State Bar No. 247560 CRYSTAL M. GAUDETTE, State Bar No. 247712 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: nlocker@wsgr.com Email: cmoreno@wsgr.com Email: bcrosson@wsgr.com Email: cgaudette@wsgr.com Attorneys for Defendants Hugh C. Martin, Brook Byers, William C. Ericson, Michael Hunkapiller, Randall S. Livingston, Susan Siegel, David Singer and Nominal Defendant Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc.	
11 12	IN THE UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	OAKLAND DIVISION	
15		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	ROBERT BURLINGAME, Derivatively on Behalf of PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES OF CALIFORNIA, INC., Plaintiff, v. HUGH C. MARTIN, BROOK BYERS, WILLIAM W. ERICSON, MICHAEL HUNKAPILLER, RANDALL S. LIVINGSTON, SUSAN SIEGEL, and DAVID SINGER, Defendants, and PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES OF CALIFORNIA, INC., Nominal Defendant.	CASE NO.: 4:11-CV-6703 (CW) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT
28		
	II	

STIP. AND [Proposed] Order Dismissing Compl. Case No. 4:11-CV-6703 (CW)

Case 4:11-cv-06703-CW Document 40 Filed 04/12/13 Page 2 of 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

27

28

Defendants Hugh C. Martin, Brook Byers, William C. Ericson, Michael Hunkapiller, Randal S. Livingston, Susan Siegel, and David Singer, along with Nominal Defendant Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. ("PacBio") (collectively, the "Defendants"), and Plaintiff Robert Burlingame ("Plaintiff") (together, the "Parties") hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, purportedly acting on behalf of Nominal Defendant PacBio, Plaintiff filed his Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) on December 29, 2011, (the "Action") against certain current and former PacBio officers and directors, asserting, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment;

WHEREAS, on March 9, 2012, the Court approved the Parties' stipulation that Defendants shall not be required to respond to Plaintiff's allegations unless and until Plaintiff files an amended complaint following the resolution of all motions to dismiss in a related putative securities class action, captioned *Primo v. Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 4:11-CV-6599 (N.D. Cal.), which has not yet occurred;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel have considered the relevant case law and the potential hurdles to successfully proceeding with this Action derivatively, and believe that given the unique facts and circumstances particular to this Action, the litigation risks of proceeding with this Action outweigh the potential benefit to Nominal Defendant PacBio and its shareholders. Accordingly, the Parties have conferred and agreed that, in the interests of PacBio and its shareholders, as well as efficiency and conservation of judicial resources, Plaintiff shall voluntarily dismiss this Action without prejudice;

WHEREAS, none of the Defendants in this action has entered into a settlement with Plaintiff in connection with this voluntary dismissal and neither Plaintiff nor his counsel have received or will receive any form of consideration from any of the Defendants in exchange for dismissing this Action;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23.1(c) and 41(a), subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

-2-

1. This Action shall be dismissed without prejudice;

Case #41111-cov-0067/033-COW/ Documentt39 Filed 004/112/113 Prages 3:0643

1	2. Each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees.	
2	Because the Action is in its preliminary stages, it is respectfully submitted that no notice is	
3	required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 and that Nominal Defendant PacBio and its	
4	shareholders will not be prejudiced by this dismissal.	
5		
6	Dated: April 11, 2013 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation	
7	By: s/ Catherine E. Moreno CATHERINE E. MORENO	
8		
9 10	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 493-9300	
11	Facsimile: (650) 493-6811	
12	Attorneys for Defendants Hugh C. Martin, Brook Byers, William C. Ericson, Michael	
13	Hunkapiller, Randall S. Livingston, Susan Siegel, David Singer and Nominal Defendant	
14	Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc.	
15	Dated: April 11, 2013 BRAMSON PLUTZIK MAHLER &	
16	BIRKHAEUSER, LLP	
17	By: s/ Michael S. Strimling MICHAEL S. STRIMLING	
18		
19	2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, CA 94598	
20	Telephone: (925) 945-0200 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Burlingame	
22		
23	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
24	Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel for the respective parties hereto, and	
25	good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26		
27		
28	Dated: April 12, 2013 By: The Hohorable Claudia Wilken United States District Judge	

STIP. AND [Proposed] Order Dismissing Compl. Case No. 4:11-CV-6703 (CW)

-3-